

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,390	08/22/2000	Reinhold Berberich	4326 US	1781
7590 01/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Martin A. Farber		PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA		
Suite 473		•		
866 United Nations Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10017		2136		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-1	, ,	<u> </u>		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/644,390 BERBERICH, REINHOLD		HOLD	
·	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Pramila Parthasarathy	2136		
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress	
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action: or	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly	
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.				
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.		
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			

10. Other: ____

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments made to Claims 1 and 3 raises new issues of the receiver being tunable to the carrier frequency, which requires further consideration and search.